



April 10<sup>th</sup>, 2006

Chairman Kevin J. Martin  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**RE: CG Docket No. 02-278**

My name is Jenn Ashby, and I am an employee at Americollect Collection Agency in Manitowoc, Wisconsin. Americollect is a third party bill collection agency and does not perform any telemarketing services. I urge you as the chair of the FCC to ask the commission to grant ACA International's (ACA) request for regulatory clarification in favor of the industry as well as all consumers who lawfully pay for goods and services they have purchased.

As you know, the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) was passed in 1991. This law was designed to protect consumers from invasive calls from telemarketers. One of the provisions of the TCPA prohibits the use of an autodialer to communicate with a consumer by way of their cell phone.<sup>1</sup> Between 1991 and 2003, the FCC consistently ruled that this autodialer prohibition did not apply to calls made using an autodialer *if the sole purpose of the calls was to recover payments for goods and services already purchased*.

But in July 2003, the FCC took a dramatic shift in its position about the applicability of the autodialer prohibition to the credit and collection industry when it expanded the statutory definition of autodialer to include predictive dialers. By expanding the definition of autodialer and failing to restate the commission's prior rulings that calls made by creditors and debt collectors to consumers' about their past due payment obligations by way of their cell phones were not subject to the autodialer prohibition, the FCC inadvertently brought calls Americollect makes for the sole purpose of recovering past due payment obligations from consumers within the scope of the regulation.

I am aware ACA has filed a Petition for an Expedited Ruling regarding this issue in proceeding CG Docket No. 02-278 with the commission. I fully support ACA's petition and the relief requested, including ACA's statement of the harm to business and the federal and state governments as a result of the FCC's rule. I believe that the FCC should not uphold an unsupportable and damaging regulatory interpretation that will encourage the evasion and non-payment of debts by prohibiting the use of autodialers to telephone consumers by way of their cell phones. To do so is contrary to the intent of

---

<sup>1</sup> The TCPA defines an autodialer as, "equipment which has the capacity to store or produce telephone numbers to be called, using a random or sequential number generator; and to dial such numbers."

Congress and all prior rulings of the FCC between 1991 and 2003 concerning this issue.

In the specific context of recovering payments, Americollect uses predictive dialers to complete transactions for which consumers have obtained a benefit, without payment. They are not used – nor do they have the capacity to be used – to randomly solicit customers to make purchases or advertise goods. In fact, autodialer technology is the most accurate way for me to call consumers about their past due payment obligations. Autodialers increase the accuracy of dialed numbers and also restrict calls to the permitted calling times in the time zone of the consumer.

If the FCC's 2003 regulatory definition of autodialer is allowed to stand, creditors and their debt collection agents face the devastating loss of an essential technological tool, namely the autodialer. It cannot be overstated that autodialer technology is directly or indirectly responsible for returning tens of billions of dollars each year to the U.S. economy. Banning their use in this limited context would not only be inconsistent with Congress' intent, but it would be an unconscionable interference with creditors' ability to request payment from its own customers. Additionally, one of the largest creditors in the United States is the federal government. If the FCC does not clarify that the autodialer prohibition does not apply to those making calls to collect past due payment obligations, the federal government will be forced to discontinue its use of autodialers to recover past due payment obligations from tax payers. Such a result would be devastating to the federal government, including the FCC, Department of the Treasury, Department of Education and the Internal Revenue Service and cause all citizens who lawfully pay their federal taxes and other payments owed to the federal government to suffer substantial harm.

The TCPA was enacted to protect consumers from unsolicited advertisements and telemarketing calls. The TCPA's prohibition against the use of autodialers to contact consumers by way of their cell phones was specifically intended to protect consumers from incurring charges as a result of unwarranted telemarketing calls being made to their wireless phones about products or services *to be purchased in the future*. There was never any intention on the part of Congress to prohibit creditors and their retained collection agencies from being able to contact consumers on their wireless phones about *a past due payment obligation for goods and services already purchased and received*.

Moreover, wireless phone usage has grown exponentially since 1991 when the TCPA was enacted. Today, more than one out of every five Americans under the age of 35 does not have a landline phone and instead uses a wireless phone as their exclusive means of telephonic communication. If allowed to stand, the long-term consequences of the FCC's decision are foreboding at best.

The FCC should promptly clarify that autodialer calls to wireless numbers solely to recover payment obligations are not covered by the TCPA regulations for the reasons expressed by ACA.

Sincerely,

Jenn Ashby  
Americollect

cc: ACA International



April 10<sup>th</sup>, 2006

Chairman Kevin J. Martin  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**RE: CG Docket No. 02-278**

My name is Aerial Bruckschen, and I am an employee at Americollect Collection Agency in Manitowoc, Wisconsin. Americollect is a third party bill collection agency and does not perform any telemarketing services. I urge you as the chair of the FCC to ask the commission to grant ACA International's (ACA) request for regulatory clarification in favor of the industry as well as all consumers who lawfully pay for goods and services they have purchased.

As you know, the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) was passed in 1991. This law was designed to protect consumers from invasive calls from telemarketers. One of the provisions of the TCPA prohibits the use of an autodialer to communicate with a consumer by way of their cell phone.<sup>2</sup> Between 1991 and 2003, the FCC consistently ruled that this autodialer prohibition did not apply to calls made using an autodialer *if the sole purpose of the calls was to recover payments for goods and services already purchased*.

But in July 2003, the FCC took a dramatic shift in its position about the applicability of the autodialer prohibition to the credit and collection industry when it expanded the statutory definition of autodialer to include predictive dialers. By expanding the definition of autodialer and failing to restate the commission's prior rulings that calls made by creditors and debt collectors to consumers' about their past due payment obligations by way of their cell phones were not subject to the autodialer prohibition, the FCC inadvertently brought calls Americollect makes for the sole purpose of recovering past due payment obligations from consumers within the scope of the regulation.

I am aware ACA has filed a Petition for an Expedited Ruling regarding this issue in proceeding CG Docket No. 02-278 with the commission. I fully support ACA's petition and the relief requested, including ACA's statement of the harm to business and the federal and state governments as a result of the FCC's rule. I believe that the FCC should not uphold an unsupportable and damaging regulatory interpretation that will encourage the evasion and non-payment of debts by prohibiting the use of autodialers to telephone

---

<sup>2</sup> The TCPA defines an autodialer as, "equipment which has the capacity to store or produce telephone numbers to be called, using a random or sequential number generator; and to dial such numbers."

consumers by way of their cell phones. To do so is contrary to the intent of Congress and all prior rulings of the FCC between 1991 and 2003 concerning this issue.

In the specific context of recovering payments, Americollect uses predictive dialers to complete transactions for which consumers have obtained a benefit, without payment. They are not used – nor do they have the capacity to be used – to randomly solicit customers to make purchases or advertise goods. In fact, autodialer technology is the most accurate way for me to call consumers about their past due payment obligations. Autodialers increase the accuracy of dialed numbers and also restrict calls to the permitted calling times in the time zone of the consumer.

If the FCC's 2003 regulatory definition of autodialer is allowed to stand, creditors and their debt collection agents face the devastating loss of an essential technological tool, namely the autodialer. It cannot be overstated that autodialer technology is directly or indirectly responsible for returning tens of billions of dollars each year to the U.S. economy. Banning their use in this limited context would not only be inconsistent with Congress' intent, but it would be an unconscionable interference with creditors' ability to request payment from its own customers. Additionally, one of the largest creditors in the United States is the federal government. If the FCC does not clarify that the autodialer prohibition does not apply to those making calls to collect past due payment obligations, the federal government will be forced to discontinue its use of autodialers to recover past due payment obligations from tax payers. Such a result would be devastating to the federal government, including the FCC, Department of the Treasury, Department of Education and the Internal Revenue Service and cause all citizens who lawfully pay their federal taxes and other payments owed to the federal government to suffer substantial harm.

The TCPA was enacted to protect consumers from unsolicited advertisements and telemarketing calls. The TCPA's prohibition against the use of autodialers to contact consumers by way of their cell phones was specifically intended to protect consumers from incurring charges as a result of unwarranted telemarketing calls being made to their wireless phones about products or services *to be purchased in the future*. There was never any intention on the part of Congress to prohibit creditors and their retained collection agencies from being able to contact consumers on their wireless phones about *a past due payment obligation for goods and services already purchased and received*.

Moreover, wireless phone usage has grown exponentially since 1991 when the TCPA was enacted. Today, more than one out of every five Americans under the age of 35 does not have a landline phone and instead uses a wireless phone as their exclusive means of telephonic communication. If allowed to stand, the long-term consequences of the FCC's decision are foreboding at best.

The FCC should promptly clarify that autodialer calls to wireless numbers solely to recover payment obligations are not covered by the TCPA regulations for the reasons expressed by ACA.

Sincerely,

Aeriel Bruckschen  
Americollect

cc: ACA International



April 10<sup>th</sup>, 2006

Chairman Kevin J. Martin  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**RE: CG Docket No. 02-278**

My name is Afton Bruckschen, and I am an employee at Americollect Collection Agency in Manitowoc, Wisconsin. Americollect is a third party bill collection agency and does not perform any telemarketing services. I urge you as the chair of the FCC to ask the commission to grant ACA International's (ACA) request for regulatory clarification in favor of the industry as well as all consumers who lawfully pay for goods and services they have purchased.

As you know, the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) was passed in 1991. This law was designed to protect consumers from invasive calls from telemarketers. One of the provisions of the TCPA prohibits the use of an autodialer to communicate with a consumer by way of their cell phone.<sup>3</sup> Between 1991 and 2003, the FCC consistently ruled that this autodialer prohibition did not apply to calls made using an autodialer *if the sole purpose of the calls was to recover payments for goods and services already purchased*.

But in July 2003, the FCC took a dramatic shift in its position about the applicability of the autodialer prohibition to the credit and collection industry when it expanded the statutory definition of autodialer to include predictive dialers. By expanding the definition of autodialer and failing to restate the commission's prior rulings that calls made by creditors and debt collectors to consumers' about their past due payment obligations by way of their cell phones were not subject to the autodialer prohibition, the FCC inadvertently brought calls Americollect makes for the sole purpose of recovering past due payment obligations from consumers within the scope of the regulation.

I am aware ACA has filed a Petition for an Expedited Ruling regarding this issue in proceeding CG Docket No. 02-278 with the commission. I fully support ACA's petition and the relief requested, including ACA's statement of the harm to business and the federal and state governments as a result of the FCC's rule. I believe that the FCC should not uphold an unsupportable and damaging regulatory interpretation that will encourage the evasion and non-payment of debts by prohibiting the use of autodialers to telephone

---

<sup>3</sup> The TCPA defines an autodialer as, "equipment which has the capacity to store or produce telephone numbers to be called, using a random or sequential number generator; and to dial such numbers."

consumers by way of their cell phones. To do so is contrary to the intent of Congress and all prior rulings of the FCC between 1991 and 2003 concerning this issue.

In the specific context of recovering payments, Americollect uses predictive dialers to complete transactions for which consumers have obtained a benefit, without payment. They are not used – nor do they have the capacity to be used – to randomly solicit customers to make purchases or advertise goods. In fact, autodialer technology is the most accurate way for me to call consumers about their past due payment obligations. Autodialers increase the accuracy of dialed numbers and also restrict calls to the permitted calling times in the time zone of the consumer.

If the FCC's 2003 regulatory definition of autodialer is allowed to stand, creditors and their debt collection agents face the devastating loss of an essential technological tool, namely the autodialer. It cannot be overstated that autodialer technology is directly or indirectly responsible for returning tens of billions of dollars each year to the U.S. economy. Banning their use in this limited context would not only be inconsistent with Congress' intent, but it would be an unconscionable interference with creditors' ability to request payment from its own customers. Additionally, one of the largest creditors in the United States is the federal government. If the FCC does not clarify that the autodialer prohibition does not apply to those making calls to collect past due payment obligations, the federal government will be forced to discontinue its use of autodialers to recover past due payment obligations from tax payers. Such a result would be devastating to the federal government, including the FCC, Department of the Treasury, Department of Education and the Internal Revenue Service and cause all citizens who lawfully pay their federal taxes and other payments owed to the federal government to suffer substantial harm.

The TCPA was enacted to protect consumers from unsolicited advertisements and telemarketing calls. The TCPA's prohibition against the use of autodialers to contact consumers by way of their cell phones was specifically intended to protect consumers from incurring charges as a result of unwarranted telemarketing calls being made to their wireless phones about products or services *to be purchased in the future*. There was never any intention on the part of Congress to prohibit creditors and their retained collection agencies from being able to contact consumers on their wireless phones about *a past due payment obligation for goods and services already purchased and received*.

Moreover, wireless phone usage has grown exponentially since 1991 when the TCPA was enacted. Today, more than one out of every five Americans under the age of 35 does not have a landline phone and instead uses a wireless phone as their exclusive means of telephonic communication. If allowed to stand, the long-term consequences of the FCC's decision are foreboding at best.



The FCC should promptly clarify that autodialer calls to wireless numbers solely to recover payment obligations are not covered by the TCPA regulations for the reasons expressed by ACA.

Sincerely,

Afton Bruckschen  
Americollect

cc: ACA International



April 10<sup>th</sup>, 2006

Chairman Kevin J. Martin  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**RE: CG Docket No. 02-278**

My name is Amberly Bruckschen, and I am an employee at Americollect Collection Agency in Manitowoc, Wisconsin. Americollect is a third party bill collection agency and does not perform any telemarketing services. I urge you as the chair of the FCC to ask the commission to grant ACA International's (ACA) request for regulatory clarification in favor of the industry as well as all consumers who lawfully pay for goods and services they have purchased.

As you know, the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) was passed in 1991. This law was designed to protect consumers from invasive calls from telemarketers. One of the provisions of the TCPA prohibits the use of an autodialer to communicate with a consumer by way of their cell phone.<sup>4</sup> Between 1991 and 2003, the FCC consistently ruled that this autodialer prohibition did not apply to calls made using an autodialer *if the sole purpose of the calls was to recover payments for goods and services already purchased*.

But in July 2003, the FCC took a dramatic shift in its position about the applicability of the autodialer prohibition to the credit and collection industry when it expanded the statutory definition of autodialer to include predictive dialers. By expanding the definition of autodialer and failing to restate the commission's prior rulings that calls made by creditors and debt collectors to consumers' about their past due payment obligations by way of their cell phones were not subject to the autodialer prohibition, the FCC inadvertently brought calls Americollect makes for the sole purpose of recovering past due payment obligations from consumers within the scope of the regulation.

I am aware ACA has filed a Petition for an Expedited Ruling regarding this issue in proceeding CG Docket No. 02-278 with the commission. I fully support ACA's petition and the relief requested, including ACA's statement of the harm to business and the federal and state governments as a result of the FCC's rule. I believe that the FCC should not uphold an unsupportable and damaging regulatory interpretation that will encourage the evasion and non-payment of debts by prohibiting the use of autodialers to telephone

---

<sup>4</sup> The TCPA defines an autodialer as, "equipment which has the capacity to store or produce telephone numbers to be called, using a random or sequential number generator; and to dial such numbers."

consumers by way of their cell phones. To do so is contrary to the intent of Congress and all prior rulings of the FCC between 1991 and 2003 concerning this issue.

In the specific context of recovering payments, Americollect uses predictive dialers to complete transactions for which consumers have obtained a benefit, without payment. They are not used – nor do they have the capacity to be used – to randomly solicit customers to make purchases or advertise goods. In fact, autodialer technology is the most accurate way for me to call consumers about their past due payment obligations. Autodialers increase the accuracy of dialed numbers and also restrict calls to the permitted calling times in the time zone of the consumer.

If the FCC's 2003 regulatory definition of autodialer is allowed to stand, creditors and their debt collection agents face the devastating loss of an essential technological tool, namely the autodialer. It cannot be overstated that autodialer technology is directly or indirectly responsible for returning tens of billions of dollars each year to the U.S. economy. Banning their use in this limited context would not only be inconsistent with Congress' intent, but it would be an unconscionable interference with creditors' ability to request payment from its own customers. Additionally, one of the largest creditors in the United States is the federal government. If the FCC does not clarify that the autodialer prohibition does not apply to those making calls to collect past due payment obligations, the federal government will be forced to discontinue its use of autodialers to recover past due payment obligations from tax payers. Such a result would be devastating to the federal government, including the FCC, Department of the Treasury, Department of Education and the Internal Revenue Service and cause all citizens who lawfully pay their federal taxes and other payments owed to the federal government to suffer substantial harm.

The TCPA was enacted to protect consumers from unsolicited advertisements and telemarketing calls. The TCPA's prohibition against the use of autodialers to contact consumers by way of their cell phones was specifically intended to protect consumers from incurring charges as a result of unwarranted telemarketing calls being made to their wireless phones about products or services *to be purchased in the future*. There was never any intention on the part of Congress to prohibit creditors and their retained collection agencies from being able to contact consumers on their wireless phones about *a past due payment obligation for goods and services already purchased and received*.

Moreover, wireless phone usage has grown exponentially since 1991 when the TCPA was enacted. Today, more than one out of every five Americans under the age of 35 does not have a landline phone and instead uses a wireless phone as their exclusive means of telephonic communication. If allowed to stand, the long-term consequences of the FCC's decision are foreboding at best.

The FCC should promptly clarify that autodialer calls to wireless numbers solely to recover payment obligations are not covered by the TCPA regulations for the reasons expressed by ACA.

Sincerely,

Amberly Bruckschen  
Americollect

cc: ACA International



April 10<sup>th</sup>, 2006

Chairman Kevin J. Martin  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**RE: CG Docket No. 02-278**

My name is Julie Bruckschen, and I am an employee at Americollect Collection Agency in Manitowoc, Wisconsin. Americollect is a third party bill collection agency and does not perform any telemarketing services. I urge you as the chair of the FCC to ask the commission to grant ACA International's (ACA) request for regulatory clarification in favor of the industry as well as all consumers who lawfully pay for goods and services they have purchased.

As you know, the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) was passed in 1991. This law was designed to protect consumers from invasive calls from telemarketers. One of the provisions of the TCPA prohibits the use of an autodialer to communicate with a consumer by way of their cell phone.<sup>5</sup> Between 1991 and 2003, the FCC consistently ruled that this autodialer prohibition did not apply to calls made using an autodialer *if the sole purpose of the calls was to recover payments for goods and services already purchased*.

But in July 2003, the FCC took a dramatic shift in its position about the applicability of the autodialer prohibition to the credit and collection industry when it expanded the statutory definition of autodialer to include predictive dialers. By expanding the definition of autodialer and failing to restate the commission's prior rulings that calls made by creditors and debt collectors to consumers' about their past due payment obligations by way of their cell phones were not subject to the autodialer prohibition, the FCC inadvertently brought calls Americollect makes for the sole purpose of recovering past due payment obligations from consumers within the scope of the regulation.

I am aware ACA has filed a Petition for an Expedited Ruling regarding this issue in proceeding CG Docket No. 02-278 with the commission. I fully support ACA's petition and the relief requested, including ACA's statement of the harm to business and the federal and state governments as a result of the FCC's rule. I believe that the FCC should not uphold an unsupportable and damaging regulatory interpretation that will encourage the evasion and non-payment of debts by prohibiting the use of autodialers to telephone

---

<sup>5</sup> The TCPA defines an autodialer as, "equipment which has the capacity to store or produce telephone numbers to be called, using a random or sequential number generator; and to dial such numbers."

consumers by way of their cell phones. To do so is contrary to the intent of Congress and all prior rulings of the FCC between 1991 and 2003 concerning this issue.

In the specific context of recovering payments, Americollect uses predictive dialers to complete transactions for which consumers have obtained a benefit, without payment. They are not used – nor do they have the capacity to be used – to randomly solicit customers to make purchases or advertise goods. In fact, autodialer technology is the most accurate way for me to call consumers about their past due payment obligations. Autodialers increase the accuracy of dialed numbers and also restrict calls to the permitted calling times in the time zone of the consumer.

If the FCC's 2003 regulatory definition of autodialer is allowed to stand, creditors and their debt collection agents face the devastating loss of an essential technological tool, namely the autodialer. It cannot be overstated that autodialer technology is directly or indirectly responsible for returning tens of billions of dollars each year to the U.S. economy. Banning their use in this limited context would not only be inconsistent with Congress' intent, but it would be an unconscionable interference with creditors' ability to request payment from its own customers. Additionally, one of the largest creditors in the United States is the federal government. If the FCC does not clarify that the autodialer prohibition does not apply to those making calls to collect past due payment obligations, the federal government will be forced to discontinue its use of autodialers to recover past due payment obligations from tax payers. Such a result would be devastating to the federal government, including the FCC, Department of the Treasury, Department of Education and the Internal Revenue Service and cause all citizens who lawfully pay their federal taxes and other payments owed to the federal government to suffer substantial harm.

The TCPA was enacted to protect consumers from unsolicited advertisements and telemarketing calls. The TCPA's prohibition against the use of autodialers to contact consumers by way of their cell phones was specifically intended to protect consumers from incurring charges as a result of unwarranted telemarketing calls being made to their wireless phones about products or services *to be purchased in the future*. There was never any intention on the part of Congress to prohibit creditors and their retained collection agencies from being able to contact consumers on their wireless phones about *a past due payment obligation for goods and services already purchased and received*.

Moreover, wireless phone usage has grown exponentially since 1991 when the TCPA was enacted. Today, more than one out of every five Americans under the age of 35 does not have a landline phone and instead uses a wireless phone as their exclusive means of telephonic communication. If allowed to stand, the long-term consequences of the FCC's decision are foreboding at best.

The FCC should promptly clarify that autodialer calls to wireless numbers solely to recover payment obligations are not covered by the TCPA regulations for the reasons expressed by ACA.

Sincerely,

Julie Bruckschen  
Americollect

cc: ACA International



April 10<sup>th</sup>, 2006

Chairman Kevin J. Martin  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**RE: CG Docket No. 02-278**

My name is Angela Camacho, and I am an employee at Americollect Collection Agency in Manitowoc, Wisconsin. Americollect is a third party bill collection agency and does not perform any telemarketing services. I urge you as the chair of the FCC to ask the commission to grant ACA International's (ACA) request for regulatory clarification in favor of the industry as well as all consumers who lawfully pay for goods and services they have purchased.

As you know, the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) was passed in 1991. This law was designed to protect consumers from invasive calls from telemarketers. One of the provisions of the TCPA prohibits the use of an autodialer to communicate with a consumer by way of their cell phone.<sup>6</sup> Between 1991 and 2003, the FCC consistently ruled that this autodialer prohibition did not apply to calls made using an autodialer *if the sole purpose of the calls was to recover payments for goods and services already purchased*.

But in July 2003, the FCC took a dramatic shift in its position about the applicability of the autodialer prohibition to the credit and collection industry when it expanded the statutory definition of autodialer to include predictive dialers. By expanding the definition of autodialer and failing to restate the commission's prior rulings that calls made by creditors and debt collectors to consumers' about their past due payment obligations by way of their cell phones were not subject to the autodialer prohibition, the FCC inadvertently brought calls Americollect makes for the sole purpose of recovering past due payment obligations from consumers within the scope of the regulation.

I am aware ACA has filed a Petition for an Expedited Ruling regarding this issue in proceeding CG Docket No. 02-278 with the commission. I fully support ACA's petition and the relief requested, including ACA's statement of the harm to business and the federal and state governments as a result of the FCC's rule. I believe that the FCC should not uphold an unsupportable and damaging regulatory interpretation that will encourage the evasion and non-payment of debts by prohibiting the use of autodialers to telephone

---

<sup>6</sup> The TCPA defines an autodialer as, "equipment which has the capacity to store or produce telephone numbers to be called, using a random or sequential number generator; and to dial such numbers."



consumers by way of their cell phones. To do so is contrary to the intent of Congress and all prior rulings of the FCC between 1991 and 2003 concerning this issue.

In the specific context of recovering payments, Americollect uses predictive dialers to complete transactions for which consumers have obtained a benefit, without payment. They are not used – nor do they have the capacity to be used – to randomly solicit customers to make purchases or advertise goods. In fact, autodialer technology is the most accurate way for me to call consumers about their past due payment obligations. Autodialers increase the accuracy of dialed numbers and also restrict calls to the permitted calling times in the time zone of the consumer.

If the FCC's 2003 regulatory definition of autodialer is allowed to stand, creditors and their debt collection agents face the devastating loss of an essential technological tool, namely the autodialer. It cannot be overstated that autodialer technology is directly or indirectly responsible for returning tens of billions of dollars each year to the U.S. economy. Banning their use in this limited context would not only be inconsistent with Congress' intent, but it would be an unconscionable interference with creditors' ability to request payment from its own customers. Additionally, one of the largest creditors in the United States is the federal government. If the FCC does not clarify that the autodialer prohibition does not apply to those making calls to collect past due payment obligations, the federal government will be forced to discontinue its use of autodialers to recover past due payment obligations from tax payers. Such a result would be devastating to the federal government, including the FCC, Department of the Treasury, Department of Education and the Internal Revenue Service and cause all citizens who lawfully pay their federal taxes and other payments owed to the federal government to suffer substantial harm.

The TCPA was enacted to protect consumers from unsolicited advertisements and telemarketing calls. The TCPA's prohibition against the use of autodialers to contact consumers by way of their cell phones was specifically intended to protect consumers from incurring charges as a result of unwarranted telemarketing calls being made to their wireless phones about products or services *to be purchased in the future*. There was never any intention on the part of Congress to prohibit creditors and their retained collection agencies from being able to contact consumers on their wireless phones about *a past due payment obligation for goods and services already purchased and received*.

Moreover, wireless phone usage has grown exponentially since 1991 when the TCPA was enacted. Today, more than one out of every five Americans under the age of 35 does not have a landline phone and instead uses a wireless phone as their exclusive means of telephonic communication. If allowed to stand, the long-term consequences of the FCC's decision are foreboding at best.

The FCC should promptly clarify that autodialer calls to wireless numbers solely to recover payment obligations are not covered by the TCPA regulations for the reasons expressed by ACA.

Sincerely,

Angela Camacho  
Americollect

cc: ACA International



April 10<sup>th</sup>, 2006

Chairman Kevin J. Martin  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**RE: CG Docket No. 02-278**

My name is Crystal Casavant, and I am an employee at Americollect Collection Agency in Manitowoc, Wisconsin. Americollect is a third party bill collection agency and does not perform any telemarketing services. I urge you as the chair of the FCC to ask the commission to grant ACA International's (ACA) request for regulatory clarification in favor of the industry as well as all consumers who lawfully pay for goods and services they have purchased.

As you know, the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) was passed in 1991. This law was designed to protect consumers from invasive calls from telemarketers. One of the provisions of the TCPA prohibits the use of an autodialer to communicate with a consumer by way of their cell phone.<sup>7</sup> Between 1991 and 2003, the FCC consistently ruled that this autodialer prohibition did not apply to calls made using an autodialer *if the sole purpose of the calls was to recover payments for goods and services already purchased*.

But in July 2003, the FCC took a dramatic shift in its position about the applicability of the autodialer prohibition to the credit and collection industry when it expanded the statutory definition of autodialer to include predictive dialers. By expanding the definition of autodialer and failing to restate the commission's prior rulings that calls made by creditors and debt collectors to consumers' about their past due payment obligations by way of their cell phones were not subject to the autodialer prohibition, the FCC inadvertently brought calls Americollect makes for the sole purpose of recovering past due payment obligations from consumers within the scope of the regulation.

I am aware ACA has filed a Petition for an Expedited Ruling regarding this issue in proceeding CG Docket No. 02-278 with the commission. I fully support ACA's petition and the relief requested, including ACA's statement of the harm to business and the federal and state governments as a result of the FCC's rule. I believe that the FCC should not uphold an unsupportable and damaging regulatory interpretation that will encourage the evasion and non-payment of debts by prohibiting the use of autodialers to telephone

---

<sup>7</sup> The TCPA defines an autodialer as, "equipment which has the capacity to store or produce telephone numbers to be called, using a random or sequential number generator; and to dial such numbers."

consumers by way of their cell phones. To do so is contrary to the intent of Congress and all prior rulings of the FCC between 1991 and 2003 concerning this issue.

In the specific context of recovering payments, Americollect uses predictive dialers to complete transactions for which consumers have obtained a benefit, without payment. They are not used – nor do they have the capacity to be used – to randomly solicit customers to make purchases or advertise goods. In fact, autodialer technology is the most accurate way for me to call consumers about their past due payment obligations. Autodialers increase the accuracy of dialed numbers and also restrict calls to the permitted calling times in the time zone of the consumer.

If the FCC's 2003 regulatory definition of autodialer is allowed to stand, creditors and their debt collection agents face the devastating loss of an essential technological tool, namely the autodialer. It cannot be overstated that autodialer technology is directly or indirectly responsible for returning tens of billions of dollars each year to the U.S. economy. Banning their use in this limited context would not only be inconsistent with Congress' intent, but it would be an unconscionable interference with creditors' ability to request payment from its own customers. Additionally, one of the largest creditors in the United States is the federal government. If the FCC does not clarify that the autodialer prohibition does not apply to those making calls to collect past due payment obligations, the federal government will be forced to discontinue its use of autodialers to recover past due payment obligations from tax payers. Such a result would be devastating to the federal government, including the FCC, Department of the Treasury, Department of Education and the Internal Revenue Service and cause all citizens who lawfully pay their federal taxes and other payments owed to the federal government to suffer substantial harm.

The TCPA was enacted to protect consumers from unsolicited advertisements and telemarketing calls. The TCPA's prohibition against the use of autodialers to contact consumers by way of their cell phones was specifically intended to protect consumers from incurring charges as a result of unwarranted telemarketing calls being made to their wireless phones about products or services *to be purchased in the future*. There was never any intention on the part of Congress to prohibit creditors and their retained collection agencies from being able to contact consumers on their wireless phones about *a past due payment obligation for goods and services already purchased and received*.

Moreover, wireless phone usage has grown exponentially since 1991 when the TCPA was enacted. Today, more than one out of every five Americans under the age of 35 does not have a landline phone and instead uses a wireless phone as their exclusive means of telephonic communication. If allowed to stand, the long-term consequences of the FCC's decision are foreboding at best.

The FCC should promptly clarify that autodialer calls to wireless numbers solely to recover payment obligations are not covered by the TCPA regulations for the reasons expressed by ACA.

Sincerely,

Crystal Casavant  
Americollect

cc: ACA International



April 10<sup>th</sup>, 2006

Chairman Kevin J. Martin  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**RE: CG Docket No. 02-278**

My name is Heather Chase, and I am an employee at Americollect Collection Agency in Manitowoc, Wisconsin. Americollect is a third party bill collection agency and does not perform any telemarketing services. I urge you as the chair of the FCC to ask the commission to grant ACA International's (ACA) request for regulatory clarification in favor of the industry as well as all consumers who lawfully pay for goods and services they have purchased.

As you know, the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) was passed in 1991. This law was designed to protect consumers from invasive calls from telemarketers. One of the provisions of the TCPA prohibits the use of an autodialer to communicate with a consumer by way of their cell phone.<sup>8</sup> Between 1991 and 2003, the FCC consistently ruled that this autodialer prohibition did not apply to calls made using an autodialer *if the sole purpose of the calls was to recover payments for goods and services already purchased*.

But in July 2003, the FCC took a dramatic shift in its position about the applicability of the autodialer prohibition to the credit and collection industry when it expanded the statutory definition of autodialer to include predictive dialers. By expanding the definition of autodialer and failing to restate the commission's prior rulings that calls made by creditors and debt collectors to consumers' about their past due payment obligations by way of their cell phones were not subject to the autodialer prohibition, the FCC inadvertently brought calls Americollect makes for the sole purpose of recovering past due payment obligations from consumers within the scope of the regulation.

I am aware ACA has filed a Petition for an Expedited Ruling regarding this issue in proceeding CG Docket No. 02-278 with the commission. I fully support ACA's petition and the relief requested, including ACA's statement of the harm to business and the federal and state governments as a result of the FCC's rule. I believe that the FCC should not uphold an unsupportable and damaging regulatory interpretation that will encourage the evasion and non-payment of debts by prohibiting the use of autodialers to telephone consumers by way of their cell phones. To do so is contrary to the intent of

---

<sup>8</sup> The TCPA defines an autodialer as, "equipment which has the capacity to store or produce telephone numbers to be called, using a random or sequential number generator; and to dial such numbers."

Congress and all prior rulings of the FCC between 1991 and 2003 concerning this issue.

In the specific context of recovering payments, Americollect uses predictive dialers to complete transactions for which consumers have obtained a benefit, without payment. They are not used – nor do they have the capacity to be used – to randomly solicit customers to make purchases or advertise goods. In fact, autodialer technology is the most accurate way for me to call consumers about their past due payment obligations. Autodialers increase the accuracy of dialed numbers and also restrict calls to the permitted calling times in the time zone of the consumer.

If the FCC's 2003 regulatory definition of autodialer is allowed to stand, creditors and their debt collection agents face the devastating loss of an essential technological tool, namely the autodialer. It cannot be overstated that autodialer technology is directly or indirectly responsible for returning tens of billions of dollars each year to the U.S. economy. Banning their use in this limited context would not only be inconsistent with Congress' intent, but it would be an unconscionable interference with creditors' ability to request payment from its own customers. Additionally, one of the largest creditors in the United States is the federal government. If the FCC does not clarify that the autodialer prohibition does not apply to those making calls to collect past due payment obligations, the federal government will be forced to discontinue its use of autodialers to recover past due payment obligations from tax payers. Such a result would be devastating to the federal government, including the FCC, Department of the Treasury, Department of Education and the Internal Revenue Service and cause all citizens who lawfully pay their federal taxes and other payments owed to the federal government to suffer substantial harm.

The TCPA was enacted to protect consumers from unsolicited advertisements and telemarketing calls. The TCPA's prohibition against the use of autodialers to contact consumers by way of their cell phones was specifically intended to protect consumers from incurring charges as a result of unwarranted telemarketing calls being made to their wireless phones about products or services *to be purchased in the future*. There was never any intention on the part of Congress to prohibit creditors and their retained collection agencies from being able to contact consumers on their wireless phones about *a past due payment obligation for goods and services already purchased and received*.

Moreover, wireless phone usage has grown exponentially since 1991 when the TCPA was enacted. Today, more than one out of every five Americans under the age of 35 does not have a landline phone and instead uses a wireless phone as their exclusive means of telephonic communication. If allowed to stand, the long-term consequences of the FCC's decision are foreboding at best.

The FCC should promptly clarify that autodialer calls to wireless numbers solely to recover payment obligations are not covered by the TCPA regulations for the reasons expressed by ACA.

Sincerely,

Heather Chase  
Americollect

cc: ACA International





April 10<sup>th</sup>, 2006

Chairman Kevin J. Martin  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**RE: CG Docket No. 02-278**

My name is Ashley Check, and I am an employee at Americollect Collection Agency in Manitowoc, Wisconsin. Americollect is a third party bill collection agency and does not perform any telemarketing services. I urge you as the chair of the FCC to ask the commission to grant ACA International's (ACA) request for regulatory clarification in favor of the industry as well as all consumers who lawfully pay for goods and services they have purchased.

As you know, the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) was passed in 1991. This law was designed to protect consumers from invasive calls from telemarketers. One of the provisions of the TCPA prohibits the use of an autodialer to communicate with a consumer by way of their cell phone.<sup>9</sup> Between 1991 and 2003, the FCC consistently ruled that this autodialer prohibition did not apply to calls made using an autodialer *if the sole purpose of the calls was to recover payments for goods and services already purchased*.

But in July 2003, the FCC took a dramatic shift in its position about the applicability of the autodialer prohibition to the credit and collection industry when it expanded the statutory definition of autodialer to include predictive dialers. By expanding the definition of autodialer and failing to restate the commission's prior rulings that calls made by creditors and debt collectors to consumers' about their past due payment obligations by way of their cell phones were not subject to the autodialer prohibition, the FCC inadvertently brought calls Americollect makes for the sole purpose of recovering past due payment obligations from consumers within the scope of the regulation.

I am aware ACA has filed a Petition for an Expedited Ruling regarding this issue in proceeding CG Docket No. 02-278 with the commission. I fully support ACA's petition and the relief requested, including ACA's statement of the harm to business and the federal and state governments as a result of the FCC's rule. I believe that the FCC should not uphold an unsupportable and damaging regulatory interpretation that will encourage the evasion and non-payment of debts by prohibiting the use of autodialers to telephone consumers by way of their cell phones. To do so is contrary to the intent of

---

<sup>9</sup> The TCPA defines an autodialer as, "equipment which has the capacity to store or produce telephone numbers to be called, using a random or sequential number generator; and to dial such numbers."

Congress and all prior rulings of the FCC between 1991 and 2003 concerning this issue.

In the specific context of recovering payments, Americollect uses predictive dialers to complete transactions for which consumers have obtained a benefit, without payment. They are not used – nor do they have the capacity to be used – to randomly solicit customers to make purchases or advertise goods. In fact, autodialer technology is the most accurate way for me to call consumers about their past due payment obligations. Autodialers increase the accuracy of dialed numbers and also restrict calls to the permitted calling times in the time zone of the consumer.

If the FCC's 2003 regulatory definition of autodialer is allowed to stand, creditors and their debt collection agents face the devastating loss of an essential technological tool, namely the autodialer. It cannot be overstated that autodialer technology is directly or indirectly responsible for returning tens of billions of dollars each year to the U.S. economy. Banning their use in this limited context would not only be inconsistent with Congress' intent, but it would be an unconscionable interference with creditors' ability to request payment from its own customers. Additionally, one of the largest creditors in the United States is the federal government. If the FCC does not clarify that the autodialer prohibition does not apply to those making calls to collect past due payment obligations, the federal government will be forced to discontinue its use of autodialers to recover past due payment obligations from tax payers. Such a result would be devastating to the federal government, including the FCC, Department of the Treasury, Department of Education and the Internal Revenue Service and cause all citizens who lawfully pay their federal taxes and other payments owed to the federal government to suffer substantial harm.

The TCPA was enacted to protect consumers from unsolicited advertisements and telemarketing calls. The TCPA's prohibition against the use of autodialers to contact consumers by way of their cell phones was specifically intended to protect consumers from incurring charges as a result of unwarranted telemarketing calls being made to their wireless phones about products or services *to be purchased in the future*. There was never any intention on the part of Congress to prohibit creditors and their retained collection agencies from being able to contact consumers on their wireless phones about *a past due payment obligation for goods and services already purchased and received*.

Moreover, wireless phone usage has grown exponentially since 1991 when the TCPA was enacted. Today, more than one out of every five Americans under the age of 35 does not have a landline phone and instead uses a wireless phone as their exclusive means of telephonic communication. If allowed to stand, the long-term consequences of the FCC's decision are foreboding at best.

The FCC should promptly clarify that autodialer calls to wireless numbers solely to recover payment obligations are not covered by the TCPA regulations for the reasons expressed by ACA.

Sincerely,

Ashley Check  
Americollect

cc: ACA International



April 10<sup>th</sup>, 2006

Chairman Kevin J. Martin  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**RE: CG Docket No. 02-278**

My name is Luke Check, and I am an employee at Americollect Collection Agency in Manitowoc, Wisconsin. Americollect is a third party bill collection agency and does not perform any telemarketing services. I urge you as the chair of the FCC to ask the commission to grant ACA International's (ACA) request for regulatory clarification in favor of the industry as well as all consumers who lawfully pay for goods and services they have purchased.

As you know, the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) was passed in 1991. This law was designed to protect consumers from invasive calls from telemarketers. One of the provisions of the TCPA prohibits the use of an autodialer to communicate with a consumer by way of their cell phone.<sup>10</sup> Between 1991 and 2003, the FCC consistently ruled that this autodialer prohibition did not apply to calls made using an autodialer *if the sole purpose of the calls was to recover payments for goods and services already purchased*.

But in July 2003, the FCC took a dramatic shift in its position about the applicability of the autodialer prohibition to the credit and collection industry when it expanded the statutory definition of autodialer to include predictive dialers. By expanding the definition of autodialer and failing to restate the commission's prior rulings that calls made by creditors and debt collectors to consumers' about their past due payment obligations by way of their cell phones were not subject to the autodialer prohibition, the FCC inadvertently brought calls Americollect makes for the sole purpose of recovering past due payment obligations from consumers within the scope of the regulation.

I am aware ACA has filed a Petition for an Expedited Ruling regarding this issue in proceeding CG Docket No. 02-278 with the commission. I fully support ACA's petition and the relief requested, including ACA's statement of the harm to business and the federal and state governments as a result of the FCC's rule. I believe that the FCC should not uphold an unsupportable and damaging regulatory interpretation that will encourage the evasion and non-payment of debts by prohibiting the use of autodialers to telephone consumers by way of their cell phones. To do so is contrary to the intent of

---

<sup>10</sup> The TCPA defines an autodialer as, "equipment which has the capacity to store or produce telephone numbers to be called, using a random or sequential number generator; and to dial such numbers."

Congress and all prior rulings of the FCC between 1991 and 2003 concerning this issue.

In the specific context of recovering payments, Americollect uses predictive dialers to complete transactions for which consumers have obtained a benefit, without payment. They are not used – nor do they have the capacity to be used – to randomly solicit customers to make purchases or advertise goods. In fact, autodialer technology is the most accurate way for me to call consumers about their past due payment obligations. Autodialers increase the accuracy of dialed numbers and also restrict calls to the permitted calling times in the time zone of the consumer.

If the FCC's 2003 regulatory definition of autodialer is allowed to stand, creditors and their debt collection agents face the devastating loss of an essential technological tool, namely the autodialer. It cannot be overstated that autodialer technology is directly or indirectly responsible for returning tens of billions of dollars each year to the U.S. economy. Banning their use in this limited context would not only be inconsistent with Congress' intent, but it would be an unconscionable interference with creditors' ability to request payment from its own customers. Additionally, one of the largest creditors in the United States is the federal government. If the FCC does not clarify that the autodialer prohibition does not apply to those making calls to collect past due payment obligations, the federal government will be forced to discontinue its use of autodialers to recover past due payment obligations from tax payers. Such a result would be devastating to the federal government, including the FCC, Department of the Treasury, Department of Education and the Internal Revenue Service and cause all citizens who lawfully pay their federal taxes and other payments owed to the federal government to suffer substantial harm.

The TCPA was enacted to protect consumers from unsolicited advertisements and telemarketing calls. The TCPA's prohibition against the use of autodialers to contact consumers by way of their cell phones was specifically intended to protect consumers from incurring charges as a result of unwarranted telemarketing calls being made to their wireless phones about products or services *to be purchased in the future*. There was never any intention on the part of Congress to prohibit creditors and their retained collection agencies from being able to contact consumers on their wireless phones about *a past due payment obligation for goods and services already purchased and received*.

Moreover, wireless phone usage has grown exponentially since 1991 when the TCPA was enacted. Today, more than one out of every five Americans under the age of 35 does not have a landline phone and instead uses a wireless phone as their exclusive means of telephonic communication. If allowed to stand, the long-term consequences of the FCC's decision are foreboding at best.

The FCC should promptly clarify that autodialer calls to wireless numbers solely to recover payment obligations are not covered by the TCPA regulations for the reasons expressed by ACA.

Sincerely,

Luke Check  
Americollect

cc: ACA International



April 10<sup>th</sup>, 2006

Chairman Kevin J. Martin  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**RE: CG Docket No. 02-278**

My name is Nicole Check, and I am an employee at Americollect Collection Agency in Manitowoc, Wisconsin. Americollect is a third party bill collection agency and does not perform any telemarketing services. I urge you as the chair of the FCC to ask the commission to grant ACA International's (ACA) request for regulatory clarification in favor of the industry as well as all consumers who lawfully pay for goods and services they have purchased.

As you know, the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) was passed in 1991. This law was designed to protect consumers from invasive calls from telemarketers. One of the provisions of the TCPA prohibits the use of an autodialer to communicate with a consumer by way of their cell phone.<sup>11</sup> Between 1991 and 2003, the FCC consistently ruled that this autodialer prohibition did not apply to calls made using an autodialer *if the sole purpose of the calls was to recover payments for goods and services already purchased*.

But in July 2003, the FCC took a dramatic shift in its position about the applicability of the autodialer prohibition to the credit and collection industry when it expanded the statutory definition of autodialer to include predictive dialers. By expanding the definition of autodialer and failing to restate the commission's prior rulings that calls made by creditors and debt collectors to consumers' about their past due payment obligations by way of their cell phones were not subject to the autodialer prohibition, the FCC inadvertently brought calls Americollect makes for the sole purpose of recovering past due payment obligations from consumers within the scope of the regulation.

I am aware ACA has filed a Petition for an Expedited Ruling regarding this issue in proceeding CG Docket No. 02-278 with the commission. I fully support ACA's petition and the relief requested, including ACA's statement of the harm to business and the federal and state governments as a result of the FCC's rule. I believe that the FCC should not uphold an unsupportable and damaging regulatory interpretation that will encourage the evasion and non-payment of debts by prohibiting the use of autodialers to telephone consumers by way of their cell phones. To do so is contrary to the intent of

---

<sup>11</sup> The TCPA defines an autodialer as, "equipment which has the capacity to store or produce telephone numbers to be called, using a random or sequential number generator; and to dial such numbers."

Congress and all prior rulings of the FCC between 1991 and 2003 concerning this issue.

In the specific context of recovering payments, Americollect uses predictive dialers to complete transactions for which consumers have obtained a benefit, without payment. They are not used – nor do they have the capacity to be used – to randomly solicit customers to make purchases or advertise goods. In fact, autodialer technology is the most accurate way for me to call consumers about their past due payment obligations. Autodialers increase the accuracy of dialed numbers and also restrict calls to the permitted calling times in the time zone of the consumer.

If the FCC's 2003 regulatory definition of autodialer is allowed to stand, creditors and their debt collection agents face the devastating loss of an essential technological tool, namely the autodialer. It cannot be overstated that autodialer technology is directly or indirectly responsible for returning tens of billions of dollars each year to the U.S. economy. Banning their use in this limited context would not only be inconsistent with Congress' intent, but it would be an unconscionable interference with creditors' ability to request payment from its own customers. Additionally, one of the largest creditors in the United States is the federal government. If the FCC does not clarify that the autodialer prohibition does not apply to those making calls to collect past due payment obligations, the federal government will be forced to discontinue its use of autodialers to recover past due payment obligations from tax payers. Such a result would be devastating to the federal government, including the FCC, Department of the Treasury, Department of Education and the Internal Revenue Service and cause all citizens who lawfully pay their federal taxes and other payments owed to the federal government to suffer substantial harm.

The TCPA was enacted to protect consumers from unsolicited advertisements and telemarketing calls. The TCPA's prohibition against the use of autodialers to contact consumers by way of their cell phones was specifically intended to protect consumers from incurring charges as a result of unwarranted telemarketing calls being made to their wireless phones about products or services *to be purchased in the future*. There was never any intention on the part of Congress to prohibit creditors and their retained collection agencies from being able to contact consumers on their wireless phones about *a past due payment obligation for goods and services already purchased and received*.

Moreover, wireless phone usage has grown exponentially since 1991 when the TCPA was enacted. Today, more than one out of every five Americans under the age of 35 does not have a landline phone and instead uses a wireless phone as their exclusive means of telephonic communication. If allowed to stand, the long-term consequences of the FCC's decision are foreboding at best.



The FCC should promptly clarify that autodialer calls to wireless numbers solely to recover payment obligations are not covered by the TCPA regulations for the reasons expressed by ACA.

Sincerely,

Nicole Check  
Americollect

cc: ACA International



April 10<sup>th</sup>, 2006

Chairman Kevin J. Martin  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**RE: CG Docket No. 02-278**

My name is Patty Check, and I am an employee at Americollect Collection Agency in Manitowoc, Wisconsin. Americollect is a third party bill collection agency and does not perform any telemarketing services. I urge you as the chair of the FCC to ask the commission to grant ACA International's (ACA) request for regulatory clarification in favor of the industry as well as all consumers who lawfully pay for goods and services they have purchased.

As you know, the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) was passed in 1991. This law was designed to protect consumers from invasive calls from telemarketers. One of the provisions of the TCPA prohibits the use of an autodialer to communicate with a consumer by way of their cell phone.<sup>12</sup> Between 1991 and 2003, the FCC consistently ruled that this autodialer prohibition did not apply to calls made using an autodialer *if the sole purpose of the calls was to recover payments for goods and services already purchased*.

But in July 2003, the FCC took a dramatic shift in its position about the applicability of the autodialer prohibition to the credit and collection industry when it expanded the statutory definition of autodialer to include predictive dialers. By expanding the definition of autodialer and failing to restate the commission's prior rulings that calls made by creditors and debt collectors to consumers' about their past due payment obligations by way of their cell phones were not subject to the autodialer prohibition, the FCC inadvertently brought calls Americollect makes for the sole purpose of recovering past due payment obligations from consumers within the scope of the regulation.

I am aware ACA has filed a Petition for an Expedited Ruling regarding this issue in proceeding CG Docket No. 02-278 with the commission. I fully support ACA's petition and the relief requested, including ACA's statement of the harm to business and the federal and state governments as a result of the FCC's rule. I believe that the FCC should not uphold an unsupportable and damaging regulatory interpretation that will encourage the evasion and non-payment of debts by prohibiting the use of autodialers to telephone consumers by way of their cell phones. To do so is contrary to the intent of

---

<sup>12</sup> The TCPA defines an autodialer as, "equipment which has the capacity to store or produce telephone numbers to be called, using a random or sequential number generator; and to dial such numbers."

Congress and all prior rulings of the FCC between 1991 and 2003 concerning this issue.

In the specific context of recovering payments, Americollect uses predictive dialers to complete transactions for which consumers have obtained a benefit, without payment. They are not used – nor do they have the capacity to be used – to randomly solicit customers to make purchases or advertise goods. In fact, autodialer technology is the most accurate way for me to call consumers about their past due payment obligations. Autodialers increase the accuracy of dialed numbers and also restrict calls to the permitted calling times in the time zone of the consumer.

If the FCC's 2003 regulatory definition of autodialer is allowed to stand, creditors and their debt collection agents face the devastating loss of an essential technological tool, namely the autodialer. It cannot be overstated that autodialer technology is directly or indirectly responsible for returning tens of billions of dollars each year to the U.S. economy. Banning their use in this limited context would not only be inconsistent with Congress' intent, but it would be an unconscionable interference with creditors' ability to request payment from its own customers. Additionally, one of the largest creditors in the United States is the federal government. If the FCC does not clarify that the autodialer prohibition does not apply to those making calls to collect past due payment obligations, the federal government will be forced to discontinue its use of autodialers to recover past due payment obligations from tax payers. Such a result would be devastating to the federal government, including the FCC, Department of the Treasury, Department of Education and the Internal Revenue Service and cause all citizens who lawfully pay their federal taxes and other payments owed to the federal government to suffer substantial harm.

The TCPA was enacted to protect consumers from unsolicited advertisements and telemarketing calls. The TCPA's prohibition against the use of autodialers to contact consumers by way of their cell phones was specifically intended to protect consumers from incurring charges as a result of unwarranted telemarketing calls being made to their wireless phones about products or services *to be purchased in the future*. There was never any intention on the part of Congress to prohibit creditors and their retained collection agencies from being able to contact consumers on their wireless phones about *a past due payment obligation for goods and services already purchased and received*.

Moreover, wireless phone usage has grown exponentially since 1991 when the TCPA was enacted. Today, more than one out of every five Americans under the age of 35 does not have a landline phone and instead uses a wireless phone as their exclusive means of telephonic communication. If allowed to stand, the long-term consequences of the FCC's decision are foreboding at best.

The FCC should promptly clarify that autodialer calls to wireless numbers solely to recover payment obligations are not covered by the TCPA regulations for the reasons expressed by ACA.

Sincerely,

Patty Check  
Americollect

cc: ACA International

